## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

ADALBERTO VEGA, JR., 04-B-2845,

Plaintiff,

-V-

R. MAZZOLA, and D. NIXION,

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_

## **DECISION AND ORDER**

17-CV-293(FPG)

Plaintiff Adalberto Vega, Jr. filed this *pro* se action alleging that while he was housed at Wende Correctional Facility, the Defendant Corrections Officers R. Mazzola and D. Nixion beat, kicked, and punched him with fists, batons, and booted feet, breaking his front teeth and causing numerous scratches and abrasions on his face and body. Dkt. No. 1. He seeks relief pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Dkt. No. 1.

Currently before this Court is Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel.

Dkt. No. 32. In support of his Motion, Plaintiff contends that he has been unable to retain an attorney and he requires assistance "with all discovery requests, depositions and other matters relating to this case." Dkt. No. 32, p. 1. Defendant Mazzola opposes Plaintiff's request for counsel. Dkt. No. 34.

There is no constitutional right to appointed counsel in civil cases.

However, under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), the Court may appoint counsel to assist indigent litigants. See, e.g., Sears, Roebuck & Co. v. Charles W. Sears Real Estate, Inc., 865

F.2d 22, 23 (2d Cir. 1988). Assignment of counsel is clearly within the judge's discretion. *In re Martin-Trigona*, 737 F.2d 1254 (2d Cir. 1984). The factors to be considered in deciding whether or not to assign counsel include the following:

- 1. Whether the indigent's claims seem likely to be of substance;
- 2. Whether the indigent is able to investigate the crucial facts concerning his claim;
- 3. Whether conflicting evidence implicating the need for crossexamination will be the major proof presented to the fact finder;
- 4. Whether the legal issues involved are complex; and
- 5. Whether there are any special reasons why appointment of counsel would be more likely to lead to a just determination.

Hendricks v. Coughlin, 114 F.3d 390, 392 (2d Cir. 1997); see also Hodge v. Police Officers, 802 F.2d 58 (2d Cir. 1986).

The Court must consider the issue of appointment carefully, of course, because "volunteer lawyer time is a precious commodity." *Cooper v. A. Sargenti Co. Inc.*, 877 F.2d 170, 172 (2d Cir. 1989). It must not allocate *pro bono* resources "arbitrarily, or on the basis of the aggressiveness and tenacity of the claimant," but should instead distribute this resource "with reference to public benefit." *Id.* Moreover, the Court must consider the "likelihood of merit" of the underlying dispute, *Hendricks*, 114 F.3d at 392; *Cooper*, 877 F.2d at 174, and "even though a claim may not be characterized as frivolous, counsel should not be appointed in a case where the merits of the . . . claim are thin and his chances of prevailing are therefore poor." *Carmona v. United States Bureau of Prisons*, 243 F.3d 629, 632 (2d Cir. 2001) (denying counsel on

appeal where petitioner's appeal was not frivolous but nevertheless appeared to have

little merit).

This action is in its early stages and discovery is still in process, making it

difficult to assess the merits of Plaintiff's claim or the public benefit which could be

achieved by appointing counsel. Moreover, Plaintiff has demonstrated that he is able to

communicate the factual basis of his claim and to move for appropriate relief.

Accordingly, this Court finds that appointing counsel for Plaintiff is not justified at this

time. It is thus the Plaintiff's responsibility to retain an attorney or press forward with

this lawsuit pro se. 28 U.S.C. § 1654.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motion for Appointment of Counsel (Dkt. No. 32) is

DENIED without prejudice. Plaintiff shall reply to Defendant Mazzola's Motion to

Compel (Dkt. No. 27) and Defendant Dixon's Motion to Compel or Dismiss (Dkt. No. 30)

by 5/24/2019. Plaintiff's Motion for Extension of Time to Complete Discovery (Dkt. No.

29) is GRANTED. Discovery shall be complete by 8/2/2019.

SO ORDERED.

DATED:

Buffalo, New York

April 2, 2019

s/ H. Kenneth Schroeder, Jr.

H. KENNETH SCHROEDER, JR.

**United States Magistrate Judge** 

3